This article was downloaded by: On: 23 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Journal of Liquid Chromatography & Related Technologies

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713597273

Facile Reversed-Phase HPLC Resolution and Quantitative Determination of Aristolochic Acid and Aristolactam Analogues in Traditional Chinese Medicine

Jenq-Huei Jou^a; Shushi Chen^b; Tian-Shung Wu^c

^a Department of Food Health, Chia Nan University of Pharmacy & Science, Jente, Taiwan, R.O.C. ^b Department of Applied Chemistry, National Chiayi University, Chiayi, Taiwan, R.O.C. ^c Department of Chemistry, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan, Taiwan, R.O.C.

Online publication date: 10 September 2003

To cite this Article Jou, Jenq-Huei , Chen, Shushi and Wu, Tian-Shung(2003) 'Facile Reversed-Phase HPLC Resolution and Quantitative Determination of Aristolochic Acid and Aristolactam Analogues in Traditional Chinese Medicine', Journal of Liquid Chromatography & Related Technologies, 26: 18, 3057 — 3068

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1081/JLC-120025422 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1081/JLC-120025422

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

JOURNAL OF LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHY & RELATED TECHNOLOGIES[®] Vol. 26, No. 18, pp. 3057–3068, 2003

Facile Reversed-Phase HPLC Resolution and Quantitative Determination of Aristolochic Acid and Aristolactam Analogues in Traditional Chinese Medicine

Jenq-Huei Jou,¹ Shushi Chen,² and Tian-Shung Wu^{3,*}

 ¹Department of Food Health, Chia Nan University of Pharmacy & Science, Jente, Taiwan, R.O.C.
²Department of Applied Chemistry, National Chiayi University, Chiayi, Taiwan, R.O.C.
³Department of Chemistry, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan, Taiwan, R.O.C.

ABSTRACT

A facile reversed-phase HPLC method for simultaneous resolution and quantitative determination of 17 analogues of aristolochic acid (AA) and aristolactam (AL) under gradient elution, with solvent mixture of sodium acetate and acetonitrile, is described. The retention scale of analytes examined in this study is found to be dependent on the pH of

3057

DOI: 10.1081/JLC-120025422 Copyright © 2003 by Marcel Dekker, Inc. 1082-6076 (Print); 1520-572X (Online) www.dekker.com



Copyright © 2003 by Marcel Dekker, Inc. All rights reserved

Marcel Dekker, Inc. 270 Madison Avenue, New York, New York 10016

^{*}Correspondence: Tian-Shung Wu, Department of Chemistry, National Cheng Kung University, No. 1, Ta-Hsueh Road, Tainan, Taiwan 701, R.O.C.; E-mail: tswu@ mail.ncku.edu.tw.

mobile phase and highly relevant to the presence of functional groups on analytes as well, under the same chromatographic conditions. Analytes with high polarity (i.e., many functional groups) elutes early. Increasing the pH of mobile phase decreases the retention time of AA analogues, however, it increases that of AL analogues slightly. At higher pH (>5.0), the elution reversal between AA and AL is observed and believed to be from the ionization of carboxyl groups on aristolochic acid. Quantitative analysis of Chinese herbs such as *Aristolochia heterophylla* and *Aristolochia fangchi* has proven this method to be facile and reliable, which can be considered as fingerprints to identify the origin or species of plant in the genus *Aristolochia*.

Key Words: Aristolochic acid; Aristolactam analogs; RP-HPLC; Traditional Chinese medicine; Resolution; Quantitative determination.

INTRODUCTION

Aristolochic acids (AAs) occur in many plants of the genus *Aristolochia*, which grow widely in the tropics to temperate areas and consist of more than 300 species. As shown in Fig. 1, these compounds are analogues of phenanthrene carboxylic acids with high similarity in structure and containing a nitro-group, a rare occurrence in nature. Some have been used as anodynes, antiphlogistics, and detoxicants in the form of herbal drugs in many cultures.^[1,2] Based on the NMR study, AAs are reduced to ALs during metabolism under anaerobic conditions in vitro, and then excreted in vivo in mammals including human beings.^[2] For instance, AA **6** is reduced to AL **12** during the metabolic process. Despite the potent toxic properties such as mutagenicity and a strong carcinogen in Wistar rats and mice, AAs have been used in the therapy of infectious disease, reducing blood pressure, enhancing leukocytes, and pain relief, because of their diverse biological action.^[3–8]

Terminal or pre-terminal renal failure in renal disease at end stage also has been reported in a clinical study for taking weight-controlling pills with Chinese herbs, containing ingredients of AAs by mistake.^[9,10] In some cases, participants developed severe nephrotoxicity and required kidney transplantation.^[11] In England, two similar cases of renal failure linked to AA **6** were reported.^[12,13] The cause of disease was thought to result from the preferential binding of AAs to the exocyclic amino group of purine nucleotides in DNA.^[2] Note, that a mixture of AAs was still used in therapy, indicating purifying these compounds was difficult, and thus, remained to be a challenge for analytical chemists.^[14] The US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) issued a warning to consumers to discontinue use of these Chinese herbal products in 2001 because they may present a serious health hazard.

Copyright @ 2003 by Marcel Dekker, Inc. All rights reserved.



Figure 1. The structures of 17 analogues of AA and AL examined in this study.

The plant, *Aristolochia clematitis*, grows as a weed in certain area of Balkan region. It has been pointed out that the AAs from this weed may be found either in the diet or local water supplying system, through contaminated wheat with plant's seeds, or through seepage from the roots.^[15] To assess the validity of this hypothesis, a facile and sensitive analysis is therefore, necessary for grain and water samples, as well as the biological samples from patients affected. The quality of botanical raw materials are found affected as well by other factors such as the soil and climate in which a plant is grown, herbivores, plant pathogens, time of harvest, and drying and/or storage conditions.^[16–18]

The quantitative determination of AAs was first conducted through the photometry or spectrometry approach.^[19,20] Rao et al. resolved methylated AAs via the GLC approach, and then performed the fluorometric assay based on the reduction to lactams.^[15] Mamolo and others reported the HPLC resolution of

Jou, Chen, and Wu

AAs in the reversed-phase mode.^[14,21–25] A group of seven ALs was first separated by Makuch et al. in 1992, under gradient elution with solvent mixture of phosphoric acid buffer and acetonitrile.^[26] However, to the best of our knowledge, the simultaneous resolution of analogues of AA and AL with this magnitude of complexity, including five AAs (2–4, 6, and 7), two AL glucosides (1 and 5), two ALs (8 and 12), six ariskanines (9, 11, 13, 14, 16, and 17), and one cepharadione, has not yet been reported. In this study, we demonstrate the reversed-phase HPLC resolution of seventeen analogues of AA and AL under gradient elution and prove this method to be facile, reliable in routine analysis of Chinese herbs, and with a possibility of being used as fingerprints to identify the origin or species of plant in the genus *Aristolochia*.

EXPERIMENTAL

Apparatus

The HPLC system that was used to carry out all analyses was a Gilson model 305 linked to a Gilson Model 115 UV detector with variable wavelengths. The UV detection was set at 254 nm. All calculations based on the peak area were processed on a Hitachi D-2000 data integrating station. A 20 μ L injection valve (Rheodyne) was used in all analyses. The separation was carried out on a C₁₈ column (250 × 4.6 mm i.d., 5 μ m particle diameter, supplied by E. Merck) at ambient temperature (~28°). A C₁₈ column (250 × 10.0 mm, i.d.) was used to perform semi-preparative purification.

Chemicals

Solvents of HPLC grade such as methanol and acetonitrile were from BDH (England). Dichloromethane, glacial acetic acid, and sodium hydroxide were purchased from E. Merck (Germany). In all cases, water processed with a Millipore water purifying system was used.

Preparation of Standards and Sample Solutions

Plant extracts of *Aristolochia cucurbitafolia* and *Aristolochia kankauensis* were the source for all standards examined in this study.^[27,28] The crude extract was first TLC separated and then further purified with a semi-preparative column ($250 \times 10.0 \text{ mm}$, i.d.). Eluents were collected, concentrated, and then dried under reduced pressure in a rotary evaporator. The dried components were not purified further and readily dissolved in a solvent mixture of dichloro-

Marcel Dekker, Inc. 270 Madison Avenue, New York, New York 10016

methane and methanol (1:1, v/v) with concentrations as follows: $10.0 \,\mu\text{g/mL}$ (compounds 1 and 11), $5.0 \,\mu\text{g/mL}$ (compounds 2–7, 10, 12, and 16), $4.0 \,\mu\text{g/mL}$ (compound 13) and $2.5 \,\mu\text{g/mL}$ (compounds 8, 9, 14, 15, and 17).

Roots and stems of *Aristolochia heterophylla* and *Aristolochia fangchi* were weighed 1.0 g, respectively and cut into small pieces before being refluxed in methanol of HPLC grade. The methanol extract was collected, filtered, and then diluted to 5 mL and was used as sample solution for HPLC analysis.

Chromatographic Conditions and Calibration

The separation of AAs and ALs was carried out on a $25 \text{ cm } C_{18}$ column using gradient elution with a solvent mixture of sodium acetate (mobile



Figure 2. Chromatogram showing the resolution of seventeen AA and AL analogues under gradient elution with a solvent mixture of sodium acetate and acetonitrile. Compound corresponding to the number designated in the elution order is described in Fig. 1. Please refer to Experimental Section for the detail of gradient elution. Peaks not assigned are impurities, which fail to be removed by the semi-preparative column.



Copyright @ 2003 by Marcel Dekker, Inc. All rights reserved.

phase A: 0.01 M, pH 5.0) and acetonitrile (mobile phase B) at ambient temperature ($\sim 28^{\circ}$ C). The gradient elution started with 20% B for 5 min. The percentage of mobile phase B was increased to 44% at 33 min, 50% at 43 min, then to 68% at 53 min, and finally to 80% at 59 min.

Each standard was prepared in three different concentrations $(14-205 \,\mu\text{g/mL})$, which were plotted against corresponding peaks area to create calibration curves. The measurements were all in triplicate. Linear regression analysis was performed to calculate the value of square *R*. The method of external standard addition was applied to quantitatively determine the concentration of AA and AL analogues in *A. heterophylla* and *A. fangchi*.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The resolution of seventeen AA and AL analogues under gradient elution with a solvent mixture of sodium acetate and acetonitrile is shown in Fig. 2. Peaks not assigned are impurities, which fail to be removed by a semipreparative column. The value of square R for standards examined in this study is listed in Table 1. As can be seen, concentration of standards is well

Compound no.	Name	R^{2a}	
1	Aristolactam-C- N - β -glucoside	0.9992	
2	Aristolochic acid C	0.9982	
3	Aristolochic acid IVa	0.9987	
4	Aristolochic acid II	0.9994	
5	Aristolactam- N - β -D-glucoside	0.9999	
6	Aristolochic acid I	0.9990	
7	Aristolochic acid IV	0.9999	
8	Aristolactam AII	0.9999	
9	Ariskanin B	0.9999	
10	Cepharadione A	0.9993	
11	Ariskanin C	0.9999	
12	Aristolactam I	0.9998	
13	Ariskanin ?	0.9998	
14	Ariskanin D	0.9999	
15	Aristolochic acid II methyl ether	0.9990	
16	Ariskanin A	0.9982	
17	Ariskanin E	1.0000	

Table 1. R^2 values for 17 standards of AAs and AL.

^aStandard was prepared in three different concentrations and measured in triplicate at each concentration.



Copyright @ 2003 by Marcel Dekker, Inc. All rights reserved.

MARCEL DEKKER, INC. 270 Madison Avenue, New York, New York 10016

correlated with the peak area within the calibration range in the linear regression.

The retention scale of analytes is found dependent on the pH of mobile phase and highly relevant to the analyte's polarity (i.e., the presence of functional groups) as well, under the same chromatographic conditions. Figure 3 shows increasing the pH of mobile phase decreases the retention time of AAs, however, it increases that of ALs slightly. At higher pH (>5.0), the elution reversal between AA and AL is observed and believed to be from the ionization of the carboxyl group on AA. Aristolactam analogues do not contain carboxyl group and, thus, are less sensitive to the change in pH. Also, analytes with high polarity (i.e., AA and analogue with a hydroxyl group attached) appears to elute early. As expected, ALs and ariskanins are eluted late. In light of this, the optimal resolution for AA and AL analogues can be obtained using 0.01 M sodium acetate solution buffered at pH 5.0 as the mobile phase upon extrapolation.



Figure 3. The effect of pH of mobile phase on the retention scale of analyte under gradient elution as described in the Experimental Section. As can be seen, increasing the pH of mobile phase decreases the retention time of AA analogues, however, increases that of AL analogues slightly. At higher pH (>5.0), the elution reversal between AA and AL is observed and believed to result from the ionization of carboxyl group on AA.



Copyright @ 2003 by Marcel Dekker, Inc. All rights reserved

Jou, Chen, and Wu

Chromatograms for the resolution of the first seven eluted AA and AL analogues in methanol crude extracts of *A. heterophylla* and *A. fangchi* under the same chromatographic conditions, are shown in Figs. 4 and 5. The data for quantitative analysis are summarized in Table 2. As can be seen, the profile of chromatograms, as well as the compositions and, thus, corresponding concentration of AA and AL analogues present in the methanol extracts are quite different. Note, that analyte **5** found in *A. heterophylla* is not present in *A. fangchi*. However, in the case of analyte **15**, the opposite is observed. This unique feature can be applied to determine the origin or the species of plant in the genus *Aristolochia*.

CONCLUSION

Resolution and quantitative determination of 17 analogues of AAs and ALs under gradient elution, with a solvent mixture of sodium acetate and



Figure 4. Chromatogram showing the resolution and quantitative analysis of seven AA analogues in the methanol extract of *A. heterophylla* under the same chromatographic conditions as described in Fig. 2.

Marcel Dekker, Inc. 270 Madison Avenue, New York, New York 10016

Copyright @ 2003 by Marcel Dekker, Inc. All rights reserved.



Figure 5. Chromatogram showing the resolution and quantitative analysis of seven AA analogues in the methanol extract of *A. fangchi* under the same chromatographic conditions as described in Fig. 2. As can be seen, the profile of chromatogram is quite different from that for *A. heterophylla* in Fig. 4.

acetonitrile, is demonstrated. The retention scale is found to be dependent on the pH of mobile phase and highly relevant to the analyte's polarity, as well, under the same chromatographic conditions. Analytes with high polarity appear to elute early. Increasing the pH of mobile phase decreases the retention time of AA analogues, however, it increases that of AL analogues slightly. At higher pH (>5.0), the elution reversal between AA and AL is observed and believed to result from the ionization of a carboxyl group on

Table 2. Composition and concentration of AAs and ALs.

AA and AL	1 (%)	2 (%)	3 (%)	4 (%)	5 (%)	6 (%)	7 (%)	15 (%)
A. heterophylla	14.3	43.1	3.5	0.5	N ^a	20.8	14.7	3.1
A. fangchi	16.2	44.8	1.9	7.7	8.1	14.5	6.9	N ^a

^aNot detected.

Marcel Dekker, Inc. 270 Madison Avenue, New York, New York 10016



Copyright @ 2003 by Marcel Dekker, Inc. All rights reserved.

Downloaded At: 19:45 23 January 2011

AA. High correlation between concentration and peak area in quantitative analysis of Chinese herbs such as A. heterophylla and A. fangchi has proven this method to be facile and reliable, which provides fingerprints to identify the origin or species of plant in the genus Aristolochia.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Support of this work by the National Science Council of Taiwan is gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- 1. Mizuno, M.; Oka, M.; Linuma, M. and Tanaka, T. An aristolochic acid derivative of Aristolochia liukiuensis. J. Natural Products 1990, 53 (1), 179-181.
- 2. Pfau, W.; Schmeiser, H.H.; Wiessler, M. Aristolochic acid binds covalently to the exocyclic amino group of purine nucleotides in DNA. Carcinogenesis 1990, 11 (2), 313-319.
- 3. Mose, J.R. Further studies on the effects of aristolochic acid. Arzneim. Forsch. 1966, 16, 118.
- 4. Bialecki, M.; Wronski, T.; Szwemin, S. Effect of sodium aristolochate on the stimulation and division of lymphocytes in a culture in vitro. Herba. Pol. 1973, 19, 370.
- 5. Kupchan, S.M.; Doscoth, R.W. Tumor inhibitors (I) aristolochic acid, the active principle of Aristolochia indica. J. Med. Pharm. Chem. 1962, 5, 657.
- 6. Mizuno, M., Oka, T., Yamamoto, H., Iinuma, M. and Murata, H. Comparison of Aristolochia species with chemical constituents. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1991, 39 (5), 1310-1311.
- 7. Gorecki, P.; Otta, H. Semisynthesis of aristolochic acids and their homologs. I. Degradation of glaucine to 3,4,6,7-tetramethoxyphenanthrene-1-carboxylic acid. Herba. Pol. 1975, 21, 148.
- 8. Xing, B.; Chen, L.; Zhou, L.; Lin, L.; Liu, F. Experimental study on the carcinogenicity of aristolochic acid. Guangxi Yixue 1982, 4, 118.
- 9. Nortier, J.L.; Martinez, M.M.; Schmeiser, H.H.; Arlt, V.M.; Bieler, C.A.; Petein, M.; Depierreux, M.F.; Pauw, L.D.; Abramowicz, D.; Vereerstraeten, P.; Vanherweghem, J.L. Urothelial carcinoma associated with the use of a Chinese herb (Aristolochia fangchi). New Engl. J. Med. 2000, 342 (23), 1686-1692.



270 Madison Avenue, New York, New York 10016

- Vanherweghem, J.L.; Depierreux, M.F.; Tielemans, C.; Abramowicz, D.; Dratwa, M.; Jadoul, M.; Richard, C.; Vandervelde, D.; Verbeelen, D.; Vanhaelen-Fastre, R.; Vanhaelen, M. Rapidly progressive interstitial renal fibrosis in young women: association with slimming regimen including Chinese herbs. Lancet **1993**, *341* (FEB13), 387–391.
- Vanherweghem, J.L. Misuse of herbal remedies: the case of an outbreak of terminal renal failure in Belgium (Chinese herbs nephropathy). J. Altern. Complement. Med. **1998**, *4*, 9.
- 12. Lord, G.M.; Tagore, R.; Cook, T.; Gower, P.; Pusey, C.D. Nephropathy caused by Chinese herbs in the UK. Lancet **1999**, *354*, 481.
- 13. Lord, G.M.; Cook, T.; Arlt, V.M.; Schneider, H.H.; Williams, G.; Pusey, C.D. Urothelial malignant disease and Chinese herbal nephropathy. Lancet **2001**, *358*, 1515.
- 14. Makuch, B.; Gazda, K. Isolation of aristolochic acids HAsI and HAsII by preparative liquid chromatography. J. Chromatogr. **1992**, *594*, 145–151.
- 15. Rao, K.; Tanrikut, Y.; Killion, K. Fluorometric and GLC analyses of aristolochic acid. J. Pharm. Sci. 1975, 64 (2), 345–348.
- Denke, A.; Schempp, H.; Mann, E.; Schneider, W.; Elstner, E.F. Biochemical activities of extracts from *Hypericum perforatum L*. Fourth Communication: influence of different cultivation methods. Arzneim. Forch. **1999**, *49*, 120.
- Southwell, I.A.; Bourke, C.A. Seasonal variation in hypericin content of *Hypericum perforatum L.* Phytochemistry 2001, 56, 437.
- 18. Southwell, I.A.; Campbell, M.H. Hypericin content variation in *Hyper-icum perforatum L*. in Australia. Phytochemistry **1991**, *30*, 475–478.
- 19. Liu, Y.; Wang, B.Q. The quantitative analysis of aristolochic acid A in *Aristolochia*. Zhongcaoyao, **1990**, *21*, 15.
- 20. Zhou, L.D. The quantitative measurement of aristolochic acids in the Chinese herb *A. fangchi*. Zhongyao Tongbao **1986**, *11*, 43.
- 21. Cateni, F.; Mamolo, M.G. Rapid HPLC analysis for quantitative determination of aristolochic acids. IL Farmaco **1992**, *47*, 1335.
- 22. Xiang, Z.X.; He, X.Q.; Zhou, G.F.; Li, C.H. The UV measurement and pharmacokinetic study of aristolochic acids. Acta Pharm. Sin. **1984**, *19*, 224.
- Tsai, T.H.; Chou, C.J.; Lin, L.C.; Tsai, W.J.; Chen, C.F. Determination of aristolochic acid in rabbit plasma by HPLC with photodiode-array ultraviolet detection and its pharmacokinetics application. J. Liq. Chr. 1993, 16 (5), 1173–1182.
- 24. Makuch, B.; Cisowski, W.; Kowalczyk, J. Separation of aristolochic acids by HPLC. Chem. Anal. **1992**, *37*, 161–166.
- 25. Lee, T.Y.; Wu, M.L.; Deng, J.F.; Hwang, D.F. High-performance liquid chromatographic determination for qristolochic qcid in medicinal plants

Marcel Dekker, Inc.

270 Madison Avenue, New York, New York 10016

Jou, Chen, and Wu

and slimming products. J. Chromatogr. B Analyt. Technol. Biomed. Life Sci. 2002, 766 (1), 169–174.

- Mizuno, M.; Oka, M.; Tanaka, T.; Yamamoto, H.; Iinuma, M.; Murata, H. Comparison of *Aristolochia* species with chemical constituents. Chem. Pharm. Bull. **1991**, *39*, 1310.
- Wu, T.S.; Chan, Y.Y.; Liu, Y.L. The constituents of the root and stem of *Aristolochia cucurbitifolia hayata* and their biological activity. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2000, 48, 1006.
- 28. Wu, T.S.; Ou, L.F.; Teng, C.M. Aristolochic acids, aristolactam alkaloids and amides from *Aristolochia kankauensis*. Phytochemistry **1994**, *3*, 1063.

Received January 8, 2003 Accepted February 18, 2003 Manuscript 6067

Copyright © 2003 by Marcel Dekker, Inc. All rights reserved.

Marcel Dekker, Inc. 270 Madison Avenue, New York, New York 10016